

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western

RECEIVED
IN LAKE CHARLES, LA

Louisiana

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

NOV - 7 2006

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

JUSTIN DANIEL STARK

ROBERT H. SHEMWELL, CLERK

Case Number: 2:06CR20116-01

Defendant

WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I—Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- X (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
- Defendant knowingly, competently & voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing. His right to request a hearing in the event his state custody status materially changes is reserved.

COPY SENT:

DATE: 11-7-06

BY: [Signature]

TO: [Signature]

WSPLC

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence ☐ a preponderance of the evidence that

no combination of conditions, short of detention, will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of others. This conclusion is based primarily on the following: (1) the nature of the charges and the potential penalties; (2) defendant's record including multiple felony convictions, & admitted probation violation; (3) defendant's history of substance abuse; (4) fact that the pending charges occurred while defendant was awaiting a self-surrender date; (5) the fact to the presumption noted above even if rebutted by production; (6) the lack of ties to this district.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

November 7, 2006

Date

Signature of Judicial Officer

Alonzo P. Wilson, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer